

METODAPPENDIX TILL KAPITEL 9

KAPITLET'S KUNSKAPSÖVERSIKT söktes fram i databaserna Web of science, Scopus och Libsearch. I detta appendix redovisas översiktens 24 tidskrifter och 30 artiklar.

Kunskapsöversiktens 24 tidskrifter

TIDSKRIFT	ANTAL TRÄFFAR OCH LÄSTA ABSTRAKT	ANTAL INKLUDERADE ARTIKLAR
African Evaluation Journal	9	2
American Journal of Evaluation	18	3
Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education	174	1
Canadian Journal of Program Evaluation	15	3
Educational Assessment, Evaluation and Accountability	15	0
Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis	17	0
Educational Research and Evaluation	28	0
Evaluation and Program Planning	131	7
Evaluation and the Health Professions	43	0
Evaluation Journal of Australasia	14	2
Evaluation Review	30	0
Evaluation: The International Journal of Theory, Practice and Policy	13	5
Evidence and Policy: A Journal of Research, Debate and Practice	17	1
fteval Journal for Research and Technology Policy Evaluation	1	0
International Journal of Accounting, Auditing and Performance Evaluation	7	0
Journal of Development Effectiveness	16	0
Journal of Educational Evaluation for Health Professions	150	0
Journal of MultiDisciplinary Evaluation	27	1
New Directions for Evaluation	17	4
Practical Assessment, Research, and Evaluation	24	0
Research Evaluation	33	1
Studies in Educational Evaluation	95	0
The Scientific Journal about Evaluation of Italian Evaluation Society	39	0
Zeitschrift für Evaluation	4	0
Totalt	928	30

Kunskapsöversiktens 30 artiklar

- Abbato, S. (2023). Digital Evaluation Stories: A Case Study of Implementation for Monitoring and Evaluation in an Australian Community not-for-Profit. *American Journal of Evaluation*, 44(4), s. 604–628. <https://doi.org/doi:10.1177/10982140221138031>
- Agbodjan, E. D., Bassongui, N., Kacou, K. P., & Tabo, A. (2024). A rocky road to evidence: Evaluating literacy programmes using a trust-based approach in a context of fragility. *African Evaluation Journal*, 12(1), Article a758. <https://doi.org/10.4102/AEJ.V12I1.758>
- Adelle, C., Black, G., & Kroll, F. (2022). Digital storytelling for policy impact: perspectives from co-producing knowledge for food system governance in South Africa. *Evidence and Policy*, 18(2), s. 336–355. <https://doi.org/doi:10.1332/174426421X16474528475330>
- Andrews, M. L., Sánchez, V., Carrillo, C., Allen-Ananins, B., & Cruz, Y. B. (2014). Using a participatory evaluation design to create an online data collection and monitoring system for New Mexico's Community Health Councils. *Evaluation and Program Planning*, 42, 32–42. <https://doi.org/doi:10.1016/j.evalprogplan.2013.09.003>
- Asikin-Garmager, A., Dowd, P., George, S., & Afifi, R. A. (2022). Integrating user experience evaluation in the development of a web-based Community Engagement Toolkit. *Evaluation and Program Planning*, 9, 102048. <https://doi.org/doi:10.1016/j.evalprogplan.2022.102048>
- Bamberger, M., Raftree, L., & Olazabal, V. (2016). The role of new information and communication technologies in equity-focused evaluation: Opportunities and challenges. *Evaluation*, 22(2), s. 228–244. <https://doi.org/doi:10.1177/1356389016638598>
- Bicquelet, A. (2017). Using online mining techniques to inform formative evaluations: An analysis of YouTube video comments about chronic pain. *Evaluation*, 23(3), s. 323–338. <https://doi.org/doi:10.1177/1356389017715719>
- Clayson, D. E. (2014). What does ratemyprofessors.com actually rate? *Assessment and Evaluation in Higher Education*, 39(6), s. 678–698. <https://doi.org/doi:10.1080/02602938.2013.861384>
- Dorinda, J. G., & Nicole, L. (2020). Mixed Methods Research in Designing an Instrument for Consumer-Oriented Evaluation. *Journal of MultiDisciplinary Evaluation*, 16(34). <https://doi.org/doi:10.56645/jmde.v16i34.583>
- Erskine, K., & Healey, M. (2022). Conversations that count: Lessons from evaluating a men's digital mental health response during COVID-19. *Evaluation Journal of Australasia*, 22(1), s. 18–29.
- Fabra-Mata, J., & Mygind, J. (2019). Big data in evaluation: Experiences from using Twitter analysis to evaluate Norway's contribution to the peace process in Colombia. *Evaluation*, 25(1), s. 6–22. <https://doi.org/doi:10.1177/1356389018804259>
- Gignac, F., Gresle, A. S., Lamelas, V. S., Yepes-Baldó, M., de la Torre, L., Pinazo, M. J., & In, S. C. (2021). Self-evaluating participatory research projects: A content validation of the InSPIRES online impact evaluation tool. *Research Evaluation*, 30(4), s. 500–513. <https://doi.org/doi:10.1093/reseval/rvab026>
- Glegg, S. M. N., Ryce, A., & Brownlee, K. (2019). A visual management tool for program planning, project management and evaluation in paediatric health care. *Evaluation and Program Planning*, 72, 16–23. <https://doi.org/doi:10.1016/j.evalprogplan.2018.09.005>
- Harman, E., & Azzam, T. (2018). Incorporating public values into evaluative criteria: Using crowdsourcing to identify criteria and standards. *Evaluation and Program Planning*, 71, 68–82. <https://doi.org/doi:10.1016/j.evalprogplan.2018.08.004>
- Harman, E., & Azzam, T. (2018). Towards program theory validation: Crowdsourcing the qualitative analysis of participant experiences. *Evaluation and Program Planning*, 66, 183–194. <https://doi.org/doi:10.1016/j.evalprogplan.2017.08.008>
- Jacobson, M. R., Whyte, C. E., & Azzam, T. (2018). Using Crowdsourcing to Code Open-Ended Responses: A Mixed Methods Approach. *American Journal of Evaluation*, 39(3), s. 413–429. <https://doi.org/doi:10.1177/1098214017717014>
- Jeffares, S., & Dickinson, H. (2016). Evaluating collaboration: The creation of an online tool employing Q methodology. *Evaluation*, 22(1), s. 91–107. <https://doi.org/doi:10.1177/1356389015624195>
- Kallemeyn, L. M. (2018). Expanding the role of digital photographs in evaluation practice: Documenting, sense-making, and imagining. *Canadian Journal of Program Evaluation*, 33(1), s. 114–134. <https://doi.org/doi:10.3138/cjpe.31106>
- Liu, T. C. (2022). Using digital technology to enhance youth participatory evaluation. *Evaluation*, 28(4), s. 540–554. <https://doi.org/doi:10.1177/13563890221124713>
- Mason, S. (2023). Finding a safe zone in the highlands: Exploring evaluator competencies in the world of AI. *New Directions for Evaluation*, 178, s. 11–22. <https://doi.org/doi:10.1002/ev.20561>
- Matlala, L. S. (2023). Improving citizen-based monitoring in South Africa: A social media model. *African Evaluation Journal*, 12(1), Article a719. <https://doi.org/10.4102/AEJ.V12I1.719>
- McLinden, D. (2017). And then the internet happened: Thoughts on the future of concept mapping. *Evaluation and Program Planning*, 60, 293–300. <https://doi.org/doi:10.1016/j.evalprogplan.2016.10.009>
- Montrosse-Moorhead, B. (2023). Evaluation criteria for artificial intelligence. *New Directions for Evaluation*, 178, s. 123–134. <https://doi.org/doi:10.1002/ev.20566>

- Picciotto, R. (2020). Evaluation and the Big Data Challenge. *American Journal of Evaluation*, 41(2), s. 166–181. <https://doi.org/doi:10.1177/1098214019850334>
- Reid, A. M. (2023). Vision for an equitable AI world: The role of evaluation and evaluators to incite change. *New Directions for Evaluation*, 178, s. 111–121. <https://doi.org/doi:10.1002/ev.20559>
- Srivastava, A. (2022). Challenges for evaluation practices and innovative approaches: Lessons during COVID-19 pandemic. *Evaluation and Program Planning*, 92. <https://doi.org/doi:10.1016/j.evalprogplan.2022.102095>
- Svensson, K., & Bradley Cousins, J. (2015). Meeting at the crossroads: Interactivity, technology, and evaluation utilization. *Canadian Journal of Program Evaluation*, 30(2), s. 143–159. <https://doi.org/doi:10.3138/cjpe.223>
- Tilton, Z., LaVelle, J. M., Ford, T., & Montenegro, M. (2023). Artificial intelligence and the future of evaluation education: Possibilities and prototypes. *New Directions for Evaluation*, 178, 97–109. <https://doi.org/doi:10.1002/ev.20564>
- Vichta, R., Gwinner, K., & Collyer, B. (2018). What would we use and how would we use it? Can digital technology be used to both enhance and evaluate well-being outcomes with highly vulnerable and disadvantaged young people? *Evaluation Journal of Australasia*, 18(4), s. 222–233. <https://doi.org/doi:10.1177/1035719X18804638>
- Worthington, P., Mak, C., Holden, M., & Searle, M. (2023). Behind the Virtual Curtain: Techniques to Bring the CAE Principles to Life. *Canadian Journal of Program Evaluation*, 38(1), s. 115–127. <https://doi.org/doi:10.3138/cjpe.75786>